APPENDIX.

Revenue Act of 1936, c. 690, 49 Stat. 1648:

TITLE VII—REFUNDS OF AMOUNTS COLLECTED UNDER THE AGRICULTURAL ADJUSTMENT ACT.

Sec. 902. CONDITIONS ON ALLOWANCE OF REFUND.

No refund shall be made or allowed, in pursuance of court decisions or otherwise, of any amount paid by or collected from any claimant as tax under the Agricultural Adjustment Act, unless the claimant establishes to the satisfaction of the Commissioner in accordance with regulations prescribed by him, with the approval of the Secretary, or to the satisfaction of the trial court, or the Board of Review, in cases provided for under section 906, as the case may be—

(a) That he bore the burden of such amount and has not been relieved thereof nor reimbursed therefor nor shifted such burden, directly or indirectly, (1) through inclusion of such amount by the claimant, or by any person directly or indirectly under his control, or having control over him, or subject to the same common control, in the price of any article with respect to which a tax was imposed under the provisions of such Act, or in the price of any article processed from any commodity with respect to which a tax was imposed under such Act, or in any charge or fee for services or processing; (2) through reduction of the price paid for any such commodity; or (3) in any manner whatsoever; and that no understanding or agreement, written or oral, exists whereby he may be relieved of the burden of such amount, be reimbursed therefor, or may shift the burden thereof; or

(b) That he has repaid unconditionally such amount to his vendee (1) who bore the burden thereof, (2) who has not been relieved thereof nor reimbursed therefor, nor shifted such burden, directly or indirectly, and (3) who is not entitled to receive any reimbursement therefor from any other source, or to be relieved of such burden in any manner whatsoever. (U. S. C. 1940 ed., Title 7, Sec. 644.)

Sec. 903. FILING OF CLAIMS.

No refund shall be made or allowed of any amount paid by or collected from any person as tax under the Agricul-

tural Adjustment Act unless, after the enactment of this Act, and prior to July 1, 1937, a claim for refund has been filed by such person in accordance with regulations prescribed by the Commissioner with the approval of the Secretary. All evidence relied upon in support of such claim shall be clearly set forth under oath. The Commissioner is authorized to prescribe by regulations, with the approval of the Secretary, the number of claims which may be filed by any person with respect to the total amount paid by or collected from such person as tax under the Agricultural Adjustment Act, and such regulations may require that claims for refund of processing taxes with respect to any commodity or group of commodities shall cover the entire period during which such person paid such processing taxes. (U. S. C. 1940 ed., Title 7, Sec. 645.)

Sec. 906. PROCEDURE ON CLAIMS FOR REFUND OF PROCESSING TAXES.

(a) Notwithstanding any other provision of law, no suit or proceeding, whether brought before or after the date of the enactment of this Act, shall be brought or maintained in any court for the refund of any amount paid or collected as processing tax, as defined herein, under the Agricultural Adjustment Act, except as provided in this section. The Commissioner shall allow or disallow, in whole or in part, any claim for refund of any such amount within three years after such claim was filed, unless such time has been extended by written consent of the claimant.

(b) There is hereby established in the Treasury Department a Board of Review (hereinafter referred to as "the Board"). The Board shall be composed of nine members who shall be officers or employees of the Treasury Department designated by the Secretary of the Treasury. * * * The Board shall have jurisdiction in proceedings under this section to review the allowance or disallawance of the Commissioner of a claim for refund, and to determine the amount of refund due any claimant with respect to such claim. The Commissioner shall make refund of any such amount determined by decision of the Board which has become final. The proceedings of the Board and its divisions shall be conducted in accordance with such rules and regulations as the Board may prescribe, with the approval of the Secretary.

(c) The allowance or disallowance of the Commissioner of a claim for refund under this section shall be final, unless within three months after the date of mailing by registered mail by the Commissioner of notice that a claim for refund of any such amount has been disallowed, in whole or in part, the claimant files a petition with the Board requesting a hearing on the merits of his claim, in whole or in part. * * *

* * * * * * * * * *

(g) A review of the decision of the Board, made after the hearing provided in this section, may be obtained by the claimant or Commissioner by filing a petition for review in the Circuit Court of Appeals of the United States within any circuit wherein such claimant resides, or has his principal place of business, or, if none, in the United States Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia, or any such court which may be designated by the Commissioner and the claimant by stipulation in writing, within three months after the date of the mailing to the claimant and the Commissioner of the copy of the findings and decision of the Board. A copy of such petition shall forthwith be served upon the Commissioner or upon any officer designated by him for that purpose, or upon the claimant, according to which party files such petition, and upon the Board. Thereupon the Board shall certify and file in the court in which such petition has been filed, a transcript of the record upon which the findings and decision complained of were based. Upon the filing of such transcript such court shall have exclusive jurisdiction to affirm the decision of the Board, or to modify or reverse such decision, if it is not in accordance with law, with or without remanding the cause for a rehearing, as justice may require. No objection shall be considered by the court unless such objection shall have been urged before the Board or division and the presiding officer, or unless there were reasonable grounds for failure so to do. If the claimant or the Commissioner shall apply to the court for leave to adduce additional evidence and shall show to the satisfaction of the court that such additional evidence is material, and that there were reasonable grounds for failure to adduce such evidence in the hearing before the presiding officer, the court may order such additional evidence to be taken before such officer, and to be adduced upon the hearing in such manner and upon such

terms and conditions as to the court may seem proper. The Board may modify its findings of fact and decision by reason of the additional evidence so taken and it shall file with the court such modified or new findings and decision. The judgment of the court shall be final, subject to review by the Supreme Court of the United States, upon certification or certiorari as provided in sections 239 and 240 of the Judicial Code, as amended. Such courts are authorized to adopt rules for the filing of petitions for review, the preparation of the record for review, and the conduct of the proceedings on review. If the decision of the Board is affirmed, costs shall be awarded against the claimant, and if such decision is reversed, the judgment shall provide for a refund of any costs paid by the claimant. In case of modification of such decision costs shall be awarded or refused as justice may require. The decision of the Board made after the hearing provided herein shall become final in the same manner that decisions of the Board of Tax Appeals become final under section 1005 of the Revenue Act of 1926 as amended. (U. S. C. 1940 ed., Title 7, Sec. 648.)

Sec. 907. EVIDENCE AND PRESUMPTIONS.

(a) Where the refund claimed is for an amount paid or collected as processing tax, as defined herein, it shall be prima facie evidence that the burden of such amount was borne by the claimant to the extent (not to exceed the amount of the tax) that the average margin per unit of the commodity processed was lower during the tax period than the average margin was during the period before and after the tax. If the average margin during the tax period was not lower, it shall be prima facie evidence that none of the burden of such amount was borne by the claimant but that it was shifted to others.

(b) The average margin for the tax period and the average margin for the period before and after the tax shall each be determined as follows:

(1) *Tax period.*—The average margin for the tax period shall be the average of the margins for all months (or portions of months) within the tax period. The margin for each such month shall be computed as follows: From the gross sales value of all articles processed by the claimant from the commodity during such month, deduct the cost of

the commodity processed during the month and deduct the processing tax paid with respect thereto. The sum so ascertained shall be divided by the total number of units of the commodity processed during such month, and the resulting figure shall be the margin for the month.

(2) *Period before and after the tax.*—The average margin for the period before and after the tax shall be the average of the margins for all months (or portions of months) within the period before and after the tax. The margin for each such month shall be computed as follows: From the gross sales value of all articles processed by the claimant from the commodity during such month, deduct the cost of the commodity processed during the month. The sum so ascertained shall be divided by the number of units of the commodity processed during such month, and the resulting figure shall be the margin for the month.

(3) *Average margin.*—The average margin for each period shall be ascertained in the same manner as monthly margins under subdivisions (1) and (2), using total gross sales value, total cost of commodity processed, total processing tax paid, and total units of commodity processed, during such period.

(4) *Combination of commodities.*—Where, as for example, in the case of certain types of tobacco, the articles produced and sold by the claimant are the product of several commodities combined by him during processing, the average margins shall be established with respect to such commodities as a group, and not individually, in accordance with rules and regulations prescribed by the Commissioner, with the approval of the Secretary of the Treasury.

(5) *Cost of commodity.*—The cost of commodity processed during each month shall be (a) the actual cost of the commodity processed if the accounting procedure of the claimant is based thereon, or (b) the product computed by multiplying the quantity of the commodity processed by the current prices at the time of processing for commodities of like quality and grade in the markets where the claimant customarily makes his purchases.

(6) *Gross sales value of articles.*—The gross sales value of articles shall mean (a) the total of the quantity of each

article derived from the commodity processed by the claimant during each month multiplied by (b) the claimant's sale prices current at the time of processing for articles of similar grade and quality.

(7) The quantity of each article derived from the commodity processed may be either (a) the actual quantity obtained, as shown by the records of the claimant, or (b) an estimated quantity computed by multiplying the quantity of commodity processed by appropriate conversion factors giving the quantity of articles customarily obtained from the processing of each unit of the commodity.

(c) The "tax-period" shall mean the period with respect to which the claimant actually paid the processing tax to a collector of internal revenue and shall end on the date with respect to which the last payment was made. The "period before and after the tax" shall mean the twenty-four months (except that in the case of tobacco it shall be the twelve months) immediately preceding the effective date of the processing tax, and the six months, February to July, 1936, inclusive. If during any part of such period the claimant was not in business, or if his records for any part of such period are so inadequate as not to provide satisfactory data on prices paid for commodities purchased or prices received for articles sold, the average prices paid or received by representative concerns engaged in a similar business and similarly circumstanced may with the approval of the Commissioner, where necessary for a fair comparison, be substituted in making the necessary computations. If the claimant was not in business during the entire period before and after the tax, the average margin, during such period, of representative concerns engaged in a similar business and similarly circumstanced, as determined by the Commissioner, shall be used as his average margin for such period.

(d) If the claimant made any purchase or sale otherwise than through an arm's-length transaction, and at a price other than the fair market price, the Commissioner may determine the purchase or sale price to be that for which such purchases or sales were at that time made in the ordinary course of trade.

(e) Either the claimant or the Commissioner may rebut the presumption established by subsection (a) of this section by proof of the actual extent to which the claimant shifted to others the burden of the processing tax. Such proof may include, but shall not be limited to—

(1) Proof that the difference or lack of difference between the average margin for the tax period and the average margin for the period before and after the tax was due to changes in factors other than the tax. Such factors shall include any clearly shown change (A) in the type or grade of article or commodity, or (B) in costs of production. If the claimant asserts that the burden of the tax was borne by him and the burden of any other increased costs was shifted to others, the Commissioner shall determine, from the effective dates of the imposition or termination of the tax and the effective date of other changes in costs as compared with the date of the changes in margin (when margins are computed for weeks, months, or other intervals between July 1, 1931, and August, 1936, in the manner specified in subsection (b), and from the general experience of the industry, whether the tax or the increase in other costs was shifted to others. If the Commissioner determines that the difference in average margin was due in part to the tax and in part to the increase in other costs, he shall apportion the change in margin between them;

(2) Proof that the claimant modified existing contracts of sale, or adopted a new form of contract of sale, to reflect the initiation, termination, or change in amount of the processing tax, or at any such time changed the sale price of the article (including the effect of a change in size, package, discount terms, or any other merchandising practice) by substantially the amount of the tax or change therein, or at any time billed the tax as a separate item to any vendee, or indicated by any writing that the sale price included the amount of the tax, or contracted to refund any part of the sale price in the event of recovery of the tax or decision of its invalidity; but the claimant may establish that such acts were caused by factors other than the processing tax, or that they do not represent his practice at other times. If the claimant processed any product in addition to the commodity with respect to the processing of which there was paid or collected an amount as tax for which he claims a refund, and if the Commissioner has reason to believe

that the burden of such amount was shifted in whole or in part by means of the transactions relating to such product, the average margin with respect to such product, and articles processed therefrom, shall also be considered, and shall be determined for the tax period applicable to the commodity and for the period before and after the tax in the manner prescribed in subsection (b) of this section. To the extent the Commissioner determines that the average margin with respect to such product was higher during the tax period than it was during the period before and after the tax, it shall be prima-facie evidence that such amount was not borne by the claimant but that it was shifted to others. (U. S. C. 1940 ed., Title 7, Sec. 649.)

Section 510 (j) of Revenue Act of 1942:

(j) Section 906 (g) (relating to appeals) is amended to read as follows:

"(g) A decision of the Board rendered after January 1, 1942, may be reviewed by a circuit court of appeals or the United States Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia, if a petition for such review is filed by either the claimant or the Commissioner within three months after the decision is rendered. Such decision may be reviewed by the circuit court of appeals for the circuit in which the claimant resides, or has his principal place of business, or, if none, by the United States Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia: *Provided, however*, that in any event such decision may be reviewed by any circuit court of appeals or the United States Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia which may be designated by the Commissioner and the claimant by stipulation in writing. Such courts shall have exclusive jurisdiction to affirm the decision of the Board, or to modify or reverse such decision, if it is not in accordance with law, with or without demanding the cause for a rehearing as justice may require. The judgments of such courts shall be final, subject to review by the Supreme Court of the United States upon certification or certiorari as provided in sections 239 and 240 of the Judicial Code as amended. Such courts are authorized to adopt rules for the filing of petitions for review, preparation of the record for review, and the conduct of the proceedings on review. A decision of the Board rendered after January 1, 1943 shall become final in the same manner that decisions of the Board become final under section 1140 of the Internal Revenue Code."